SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.— Some of the decisions are partially implemented and rest are not implemented.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— I would appeal to my friends that on this score it is not proper for the House to be adjourned. Let us go on with the slated work of the House. I shall immediately call all the concerned officers and take up the matter.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೊಣಂದೂರು ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.— ಇವತ್ತು ತಾವು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಶಕ್ತಿ, ಬಲ ಇದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಈ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ಅಡ್‌ಜರ್ನ್ ಮಾಡಿ.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.— What the hon, ble member says is not correct. The entire House is in sympathy with these people.

SRI KAGODU THIMMAPPA.— You must put it in a proper form to express the sympathies of this House to the employees. So far as the contorl of the Press is concerned, we have no disagreement with you. ಈ ಸದನ ಮ್ಯಾನೇಜ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅತೃಪ್ತಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಪಡಿಸುವುದಾದರೆ, ೧೦ ನಿಮಿಷವಾದರೂ ಅಡ್ಜರ್ನ್ ಮಾಡಿ. If you adjourn the House for 10 minutes, it will have its own effect on the employer.

MADAM SPEAKER.— It is not possible to adjourn the House. This is not the business of the House. ಪ್ರಶ್ನೋತ್ತರ ಆದಮೇಲೆ ಈ ವಿಷಯ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral Answers were given)

Tram-ways Accidents in VISL Bhadravathi

254. SRI ABDUL KHUDUS ANWAR (Bhadravathi).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state:

(*a*) the number of Railway accidents (Tram-ways of VISL) occured in Bhadravathi during the year 1975-76 : 1976-77:

(*b*) the number of persons injured and killed in these accidents;

(*c*) the causes of these accidents and the types of engines that were involved in these accidents.

(*d*) whether the engines were on trial run. or they were on regular run, when the accidents occured.

(*e*) the amount of compensation paid to relatives of the victims of accidents:

(*f*) whether it is not a fact that these accidents occured due to over speeding of the engines;

(*g*) if not reasons for the same.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS (Chief Minister)—

(*a*) 1975-76-1 on 13-9-1975
1976-77-4 on 18-5-1976
21-7-1976
31-12-1976
22-1-1977

(*b*) 8-killed and none injured

Details.

(i) Due to accident on 13-9-1975-1
do 18-5-1976-1
do 21-7-1976-1
do 31-12-1976-2
do 22-1-1977-3

8

(i) 46 injured during accidents
on 31-12-1976 36
on 22-1-1977 10

46

(*c*) Three among those killed were runover. The others were injured and later dead. The major cause of these accidents was carelessness of the persons who tried to cross the tram-way lines.

The cause of the accident on 31st December 1976 was due to negligent driving of the bus by the KSRTC Driver (Stn. Loco. No. 2 Diesel).

In all these cases, steam-engines were involved.

(*d*) In respect of four accidents, the engines were on regular run.

In the acoident on 31st December 1976, the engine was on trial train.

(*e*) Nil.

(*f*) No.

(*g*) The reasons are given in reply to question (c) above.

SRI ABDUL KHUDUS ANVAR.—The reply furnished to the question does not seems to be correct. I request the chair kindly to allow me to give notice for half an hour discussion orc all attention notice.

† SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—One correction has to made to reply (b). None injured is not correct, My latest information is that 8 killed is no doubt true but none injured is not correct. It must be corrected to read as 46 injured.

SRI ABDUL KHUDUS ANVAR.—on 12th January 1977, in the accident 8 people were killed and the were removed from the debris.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—In the incident of 22nd January 1977, the eport is 3 people have died.

SRI ABDUL KHUDUS ANVAR.—On that day itself 8 people have died. They were travelling it that truck. Those who were sitting were burried and removed from the debris. The Director himself was present on that day.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—The hon. Member gives information that it is not 3, it is eight etc, I will get it verified. According to my information, on that day in the accident three people have died and 10 injured. It is true to say that it was not the fault of the people who were involved in the accident. It is known that the train driver was at fault.

SRI ABDUL KHUDUS ANVAR.—In answer you have said that San Loco. No 2 was remodelled at a cost of Rs. 8 lakhs. This is an old engine. It has to go at 5-6 miles per hour speed. But this went at the speed of 25 miles per hour at the crossig. On account of this over-speed the accident took place. Will the Government pay compensation who have died and injured in the accident.

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—I am telling that it is the mistake of the train driver. I did say that it is due to the mistake of the train driver this accident occured. When admit that it is the fault fo the train driver, all consequences will follow. They might be entitiled for compensation I shall look into it.

SRI ABUDL KHUDUS ANVAR —Will the Government consider to give compensation to the family members of the deceased and the injured?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS —I cannot say at every step So far as this particular accident of 22nd January 1977 is concerned wherein dus to the overspeed of the engine driver three people died and some injured, compensation will be paid. Regarding the rest I will examine whether they are entitled for compensation or not.

SRI M. S. KRISHNAN.—In answer to (c) it is stated that the major cause of these accidents was carelessness of the persons who tried to cross, the Railway line and not carelessness of the driver of that particular engine. The question asked is about the cause of the

injury. The major cause is the carelessness of the persons who crosses the tram-way line. We would like to know whether it is due to the carelessness of those people who cross the line ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—All these questions are clubbed together. The major reason being carelessness, We have accepted the responsibility of the bus driver in certain accidents where it has occured because of the fault of the driver.

SRI ABDUL KHUDUS ANVAR.—Will the dependants of those people who lost their lives and injured get compensation : and whether compensation will be given for all those people ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ URS.—The hon, Member knows subject very well. I cannot straight away say. Legally if they are entitled, I will certainly see that they are paid the compensation.

Water Supply Schemes in Chintamani Taluk

379. SRI CHOWDA REDDY (Chintamani).—Will the Minister for Rural Development and Panchayat Raj be pleased to state.

(*a*) the number of villages which water supply facilities in Chintamani Taluk have been provided under the Rural Water Supply Scheme. Details may be furnished.

(*b*) the number of village to which have obtained the facilities under the said scheme.

(*c*) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that water supply plants are not working due to their getting out of order in many villages under this scheme. If so, the names of such villages.

(*d*) the steps taken by the Government to repair the plants which are out of order ?

SRI K. PRABHAKAR (Minister for Rural Development and Panchayathi Raj).—

(*a*) (i) 8 (eight).

(ii)

Name of the village	Total Expenditure Rs.	The work completed on
Vijakoor	33,500	18-7-1968
Modikere	38,500	21-8-1968
Battlahalli	60,500	13-6-1970